

# Canadians' Views on Public Healthcare Solutions

Canadian Health Coalition

FINAL Submission • Project 2011-226




# Public Healthcare Solutions

## Public Solutions for Healthcare Issues (Nanos Research: 2011)

Thinking about the future of Canada's public healthcare, would you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose public solutions to make our public healthcare stronger?



October 2011  
(n=1,202)



# Public Healthcare Solutions

## Public Solutions for Healthcare Issues (Nanos Research: 2009–2010)

Thinking about the future of Canada's public healthcare, would you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose public solutions to make our public healthcare stronger?



# Data Sheet

		Question 3 - Thinking about the future of Canada's public healthcare, would you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose public solutions to make our public healthcare stronger?					
		Total	Support	Somewhat support	Somewhat oppose	Oppose	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2011-10	1202	81.8	11.7	1.8	2.0	2.6
	Atlantic	120	87.3	8.6	1.3	1.1	1.7
	Quebec	300	73.1	17.1	4.4	1.7	3.7
	Ontario	359	85.7	9.5	.7	2.2	1.9
	Prairies	243	80.7	13.3	1.2	3.0	1.8
	British Columbia	180	86.7	7.1	.9	1.7	3.7
Gender	Male	579	80.2	13.5	2.1	2.3	1.9
	Female	622	83.4	10.0	1.5	1.8	3.2
Age	18 to 29	246	85.6	9.5	2.1	1.9	.8
	30 to 39	204	86.2	8.7	1.4	1.7	2.0
	40 to 49	249	78.1	15.7	2.4	.9	2.9
	50 to 59	221	79.7	15.1	.9	1.9	2.4
	60 plus	282	80.4	9.6	2.2	3.4	4.4
Vote Profile	Liberal	226	82.9	9.2	1.8	2.7	3.3
	Conservative	364	80.9	13.3	1.0	2.8	2.0
	NDP	289	84.0	9.8	1.9	.9	3.4
	Bloc	35	76.4	15.4	4.8	3.4	.0
	Green	37	85.1	10.8	.0	2.3	1.8
	Other	14	76.9	15.0	.0	.0	8.2
	Undecided	235	80.3	13.4	2.9	1.5	1.9

# Methodology

- The Canadian Health Coalition retained Nanos Research to conduct public opinion research.
- A national random telephone survey of 1,202 Canadians 18 years of age and older was completed by Nanos Research between October 20<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup>, 2011. The statistics of a random sample of 1,202 respondents are accurate to within 2.8 percentage points, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20. Readers should note that the margin of error will be larger for subgroups.
- For any questions related to this project, please contact Mitra Thompson, an analyst with Nanos Research. She can be reached at (613) 234-4666 ext 230 or at [mthompson@nanosresearch.com](mailto:mthompson@nanosresearch.com).